

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,534	04/18/2007	Sergey Popov	1561-US	2993
24505 DANIEL I SV	7590 03/23/2919 IEL. I SWIRSKY		EXAM	INER
55 REUVEN ST.			HOLLOWAY, IAN KNOBEL	
BEIT SHEME ISRAEL	SH, 99544		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3763	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/23/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)				
10/579,534	POPOV, SERGE	Y			
Examiner	Art Unit				
IAN K. HOLLOWAY	3763				

		IAN K. HOLLOWAY	3763	
Davied fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence ad	dress
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA assons of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SY (6) MONTH'S from the mailing date of this communication. In period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we to reply when the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirthing apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this co ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>25 Ja</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under <u>E</u>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		merits is
Disposit	ion of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>67-74</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>67-74</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u> is/are</u> objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Applicat	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed onis/are: a) a local Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or de	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CF	. ,
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b Some * c None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received in (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National	Stage
Attachmen	nt(s)			
		A □ 1-1 2 2	(DTO 440)	

Attachment(s)		
1) ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) ☐ Information Jiedioeure-Statement(e) (PTO/88/00) Paper No(s)Mail Date	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)Mail Date. 5. Notice of Informal Patent Amplication 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 10/579,534 Page 2

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

Receipt is acknowledged of applicant's amendment filed (1/25/2010). Claims 1-66 have been canceled without prejudice. Claims 67-74 are pending and an action on the merits is as follows.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 67-74 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148
 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 67-74 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over
 Cuppy (US patent 5755709), herein after referred to as C, in view of Cuppy (US pg Pub 2004/0181192), herein after referred to as D.

Art Unit: 3763

Regarding Claim 67, C discloses a catheter (30) having a catheter hub (40, the rear of the catheter) and an axial through channel with a proximal end portion a needle assembly including a needle (18, needle) with a distal sharp point, which extends through said catheter channel, and a safety means (Fig. 15, the needle ahs been withdrawn into the safety cover) having a protector for protecting said needle distal sharp point after introducing said catheter into a blood vessel, wherein said needle assembly is in a protected position when said needle is protected by said protector, wherein in said protected position said needle assembly has a distal end and a proximal end

C fails to disclose the cap and the use of a luer lock

However, **D** teaches a luer lock (**Fig 2**) catheter cap (**100**, attachable to the catheter) having a tapered male luer member (**100**) with a tapered end for occluding a proximal opening of said catheter after the transposition of said needle to said protected position, said catheter cap being detachably mounted on said needle assembly; and mounting means for detachable mounting of said catheter cap on said needle assembly, (**90**) wherein said mounting means includes stabilizing means(**Fig. 2**, once the cap is completely screwed on, it can no longer be moved axially) that prevents rotation of said tapered male luer member with respect to said needle assembly when mounting said catheter cap onto said catheter, and wherein when said needle assembly is in said protected position said catheter cap is positioned at either of said ends of said needle assembly, and said tapered end of said tapered male luer member is directed proximally when said catheter cap is positioned at said proximal end of said needle

Art Unit: 3763

assembly and distally when said catheter cap is positioned at said distal end of said needle assembly.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to use the construction as taught by **D**, since **D** states at paragraph 7 that such modification would reduce exposure to blood. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to apply the construction as taught in **D**, to improve the device of **C** for the predictable result of making it safer to use.

Regarding Claim 68, D discloses has first and second mounting elements (90 and 100) one of which is disposed on said needle assembly and the other on said catheter cap, wherein said stabilizing means is made as at least one slot (100, threading) and at least one projection, (90) one of which slot and projection is located on said first mounting element and the other of which slot and projection is located on said second mounting element wherein said projection slidably enters said slot

Regarding Claim 69, D discloses catheter cap is detachably retained on said needle assembly by friction between said first and second mounting elements. (Fig. 2)

Regarding Claim 70, D discloses shielding means (16) preventing said tapered luer member from accidental contact with an operator, wherein said shielding means is made as a shielding wall enclosing said tapered male luer member and protruding beyond said tapered end

Regarding Claim 71, D discloses catheter cap is disposed on said protector. (Fig. 2)

Art Unit: 3763

Regarding Claim 72, D discloses a hub, (Fig. 2) and wherein said catheter cap is disposed on said hub.

Regarding Claim 73, D discloses one of said mounting elements is disposed on said needle assembly and forms a receptacle in which said catheter cap is housed and wherein said receptacle acts as said shielding means. (Fig. 2)

Regarding Claim 74, D discloses a thread for fixing said catheter cap onto said catheter. (100, threading)

Applicant's arguments filed 1/25/2010 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Response to Arguments

Applicant states, the new claims obviate the prior art, however, the claims remain rejected under the grounds seen above.

Conclusion

 Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3763

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IAN K. HOLLOWAY whose telephone number is (571)270-3862. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5, Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas D. Lucchesi can be reached on 571-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/579,534 Page 7

Art Unit: 3763

/lan K Holloway/ Examiner, Art Unit 3763

/Nicholas D Lucchesi/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3763